

## 2 Preliminary

We study the system

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} v' = \kappa^2 \\ \kappa' = -\kappa v - \omega \end{cases}$$

with three different cases  $\omega > 0$ ,  $\omega < 0$ , and  $\omega = 0$ .

### 2.1 The case for $\omega > 0$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 &= \{(v, \kappa) \mid \kappa > 0, -\kappa v - \omega > 0\} \\ \mathcal{D}_2 &= \{(v, \kappa) \mid \kappa > 0, -\kappa v - \omega < 0\} \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{(v, \kappa) \mid \kappa < 0, -\kappa v - \omega < 0\} \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{(v, \kappa) \mid \kappa < 0, -\kappa v - \omega > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is no equilibrium of the system (2.1).

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\omega > 0$ . The following statements hold.*

- (1)  $v' > 0$  for all  $(v, \kappa)$ .
- (2)  $\kappa' > 0$  for  $(v, \kappa) \in \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ .
- (3)  $\kappa' < 0$  for  $(v, \kappa) \in \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$ .
- (4) The set  $\{\kappa \leq 0\}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{B}_2}$  are invariant regions for the system (2.1).

*Proof.* It is easy to prove the lemma by the phase plane analysis.  $\square$

The local existence and uniqueness of solutions of the system (2.1) with a given initial condition is trivial. In the next lemma, we claim that the solutions of the system (2.1) is globally defined.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $(v, \kappa)$  be the local solution of the system (2.1) with the initial condition  $(v(0), \kappa(0)) = (0, \kappa_0)$ . Then  $(v, \kappa)$  is globally defined such that  $\kappa(s)$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* We note that  $v(s) := \int_0^s \kappa^2(\xi) d\xi$  is a positive strictly increasing function for  $s > 0$ , since  $\kappa(s)$  can only be zero at most once by Lemma 2.1. First we claim  $\kappa(s)$  is bounded above and eventually negative. If  $\kappa_0 \leq 0$  then  $\kappa(s) \leq 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . If  $\kappa(s) \geq 0$  then  $\kappa' = -\kappa v - \omega \leq -\omega < 0$  and so  $\kappa(s) \leq \kappa_0$ . Hence  $\kappa(s)$  is bounded above. Suppose that  $\kappa(s) > 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Then  $\kappa(s) \leq \kappa_0 - \omega s \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , a contradiction. Therefore there exists  $s_0 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa(s_0) = 0$  and  $\kappa(s) \leq 0$  for all  $s \in [s_0, +\infty)$ .

Now we claim that  $\kappa$  is bounded below. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\kappa(s) \leq 0$  for all  $\kappa(s)$  exists. Note that  $\kappa'(0) < 0$ . If there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_1) = 0$ , then  $\kappa'(s) > 0$  for  $s > s_1$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $\kappa(s) \geq \kappa(s_1)$ . Suppose that  $\kappa'(s) < 0$  as long as  $\kappa(s)$  exists. If  $\kappa$  is unbounded then  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow s_2$  for some  $s_2 \in (0, +\infty]$ . Since  $v(s) > 0$  and  $v'(s) > 0$ ,  $\kappa'(s) = -\kappa(s)v(s) - \omega \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow s_2$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\kappa$  is bounded and hence  $v(s)$  is finite if  $s$  is finite.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$  where  $\kappa_0 \leq 0$ . Then there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_1) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\kappa'(s) < 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$ , that is,  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  stays in  $\mathcal{B}_1$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow c$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  for some finite  $c$ . We claim that  $v(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . Suppose that  $v(s) \rightarrow d$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  where  $d$  is finite. Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\kappa'(s_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $v'(s_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} v'(s_n) = \kappa^2(s_n) \\ \kappa'(s_n) = -\kappa(s_n)v(s_n) - \omega, \end{cases}$$

and, by letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} 0 = c^2 \\ 0 = -cd - \omega. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $(d, c)$  is an equilibrium of the system (2.1), a contradiction. Therefore  $v(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  and so  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow 0$ . But  $\kappa(s) \leq 0$  and  $\kappa'(s) < 0$  for all  $s \in [0, +\infty)$ , a contradiction. Therefore, there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_1) = 0$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$  where  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . Then there exist  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa(s_1) = 0$  and  $s_2 \in (s_1, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_2) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 2.2 there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa(s_1) = 0$  and  $\kappa(s) \leq 0$  for all  $s \in (s_1, +\infty)$ . By the proof of Lemma 2.3 there exists  $s_2 \in (s_1, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_2) = 0$ . Therefore the lemma holds.  $\square$

Then we have the following asymptotically behavior.

**Proposition 2.5** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$ . Then  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} v(s) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \kappa(s) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemmas, there exists  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $\kappa'(s_0) = 0$  and  $\kappa'(s) > 0$  for  $s \in (s_0, +\infty)$ . Then  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow c$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  for some  $c \in (-\infty, 0]$ . Since there is no equilibrium of the system (2.1),  $v(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We claim that  $c = 0$ . Since  $c$  is finite, we can take a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\kappa'(s_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $c < 0$ , then by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$\frac{\kappa'(s_n)}{\kappa(s_n)} = -v(s_n) - \frac{\omega}{\kappa(s_n)},$$

we reach a contradiction. Thus  $c = 0$  and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

## 2.2 The case for $\omega < 0$

Note that there is also no equilibrium of the system (2.1). Similarly, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_1 &= \{(v, \kappa) | \kappa > 0, -\kappa v - \omega > 0\} \\ \mathcal{D}_2 &= \{(v, \kappa) | \kappa > 0, -\kappa v - \omega < 0\} \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{(v, \kappa) | \kappa < 0, -\kappa v - \omega < 0\} \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{(v, \kappa) | \kappa < 0, -\kappa v - \omega > 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Then, by phase plane analysis, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6** *The following statements hold.*

- (1)  $v' > 0$  for all  $(v, \kappa)$ .
- (2)  $\kappa' > 0$  for  $(v, \kappa) \in \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ .
- (3)  $\kappa' < 0$  for  $(v, \kappa) \in \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$ .
- (4) The set  $\{\kappa \geq 0\}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{D}_2}$  are invariant regions for the system (2.1).

We state the following lemmas without proof, since their proofs are similar to those of the case  $\omega > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $(v, \kappa)$  be the local solution of the system (2.1) with the initial condition  $(v(0), \kappa(0)) = (0, \kappa_0)$ . Then  $(v, \kappa)$  is globally defined such that  $\kappa(s)$  is bounded.*

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$  where  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . Then there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_1) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$  where  $\kappa_0 < 0$ . Then there exists  $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa(s_1) = 0$  and there exists  $s_2 \in (s_1, +\infty)$  such that  $\kappa'(s_2) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $(v(s), \kappa(s))$  be the solution of (2.1) with the initial value  $(0, \kappa_0)$ . Then  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} v(s) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \kappa(s) = 0$ .*

## 2.3 The case for $\omega = 0$

In this case, the system (2.1) reads as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} v' = \kappa^2 \\ \kappa' = -\kappa v. \end{cases}$$

We define the function

$$(2.3) \quad E[s] := \frac{1}{2}v^2(s) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(s).$$

First, we note that  $(v_0, 0)$  is an equilibrium of the system (2.2) for any  $v_0 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Note that  $\kappa' > 0$  if  $(v, \kappa) \in \{v\kappa < 0\}$ ,  $\kappa' < 0$  if  $(v, \kappa) \in \{v\kappa > 0\}$ , and  $v' > 0$  for all  $(v, \kappa)$  with  $\kappa \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.11** *Let  $(v, \kappa)$  be the local solution of the system (2.2) with the initial condition  $(v(0), \kappa(0)) = (0, \kappa_0)$ . Then  $(v, \kappa)$  is globally defined such that  $|v(s)| \leq |\kappa_0|$  and  $|\kappa(s)| \leq |\kappa_0|$  for all  $s \geq 0$  and  $(v, \kappa) \rightarrow (|\kappa_0|, 0)$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.3) and (2.2),  $E'[s] = 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$ , that is,  $E[s]$  is a constant function. Since  $E[0] = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_0^2$ ,  $E[s] := \frac{1}{2}v^2(s) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(s) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_0^2$  as long as  $(v, \kappa)$  exists. Hence  $(v, \kappa)$  is globally defined such that  $|v(s)| \leq |\kappa_0|$  and  $|\kappa(s)| \leq |\kappa_0|$  for all  $s \geq 0$ .

Now we claim that  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  and hence  $v(s) \rightarrow |\kappa_0|$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . The case  $\kappa_0 = 0$  is trivial. Note that  $\kappa(s)$  does not change sign for all  $s \geq 0$ , since all of the points of  $\{\kappa = 0\}$  are fixed. If  $\kappa_0 > 0$  then  $\kappa'(s) = -\kappa(s)v(s) < 0$  for all  $s > 0$ . Hence the limit  $c := \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \kappa(s)$  exists for some  $c \geq 0$ . Since  $c$  is finite, we can find a sequence  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$

such that  $\kappa'(s_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $c > 0$ , then by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\frac{\kappa'(s_n)}{\kappa(s_n)} = -v(s_n)$  we obtain that  $v(s_n) \rightarrow 0$ . But,  $v$  is a positive monotone increasing function, a contradiction. Therefore,  $(v, \kappa) \rightarrow (|\kappa_0|, 0)$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  when  $\kappa_0 > 0$ .

The proof for the case  $\kappa_0 < 0$  is similar. This completes the proof.  $\square$