

### 3 Existence

In this section, we shall use the shooting method to derive the existence of self-similar solutions. For this, we define

$$I_1 = \{\mu > 0 \mid \exists y_0 \in (0, \infty) \text{ such that } g'(y_0; \mu) = 0\},$$

$$I_2 = \{\mu > 0 \mid \exists R < \infty \text{ such that } g(y; \mu) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow R^- \text{ and } g'(y; \mu) < 0 \text{ in } [0, R]\}.$$

Let us first prove  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are both nonempty.

**Lemma 3.1**  $I_1$  is nonempty.

**Proof.** Let  $g(y; \mu) = \mu w(\mu^{(1-m)/(2m)} y; \mu)$  for  $y > 0$ . Then  $g(y; \mu)$  is the solution of (P) if and only if  $w(z; \mu)$  satisfies (P1):

$$\begin{cases} w'' + \alpha k z w^{\frac{1}{m}-1} w' + \alpha m w^{\frac{1}{m}} = 0, z > 0, \\ w(0) = 1, w'(0) = m \mu^{\frac{1}{2\alpha m}}. \end{cases}$$

If  $\mu = 0$ , then (P1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} w'' + \alpha k z w^{\frac{1}{m}-1} w' + \alpha m w^{\frac{1}{m}} = 0, z > 0, \\ w(0; 0) = 1, w'(0; 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $w''(0; 0) = -\alpha m > 0$ . We see that  $w'(z; 0) > 0$  and  $w(z; 0) > 1$  for small  $z > 0$ . Since any critical point of  $w(z; 0)$  must be a local minimum point,  $w'(z; 0) > 0$  for any  $z$  in  $(0, \infty)$ . Then  $w(z; 0) > 1$  for any  $z$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $w''(z; 0) > 0$  for any  $z$  in  $[0, \infty)$ . Hence  $w'(z; 0)$  is monotone increasing and so  $w'(z; 0) > w'(1; 0)$  for any  $z$  in  $(1, \infty)$ . Given any  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, w'(1; 0)/2\}$ . By the continuous dependence on parameter, there exists  $\mu_* > 0$  such that

$$w(z; \mu) > 0, \forall z \in [0, 2] \text{ and } w'(z; \mu) > 0, \forall z \in [1, 2],$$

for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_*)$ . Hence  $g(y; \mu) > 0, \forall y \in [0, 2\mu^{(m-1)/(2m)}]$  for any  $\mu \in (0, \mu_*)$ . Since  $w'(0; \mu) = m \mu^{\frac{1}{2\alpha m}} < 0$ , there exists  $z_0(\mu) < 1$  such that  $w'(z_0; \mu) = 0$ . Therefore,  $g'(\mu^{(m-1)/(2m)} z_0; \mu) = 0$  for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_*)$ . This shows that  $(0, \mu_*) \subseteq I_1$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2**  $I_2$  is nonempty.

**Proof.** Consider the energy function

$$\begin{aligned} E(y) = E(y; \mu) &= \frac{[g'(y)]^2}{2} + \frac{\alpha m^2}{1+m} [g(y)]^{\frac{1}{m}+1}, \text{ if } m \neq -1, \\ &= \frac{[g'(y)]^2}{2} - \alpha \ln g(y), \text{ if } m = -1. \end{aligned}$$

From  $E'(y) = -\alpha kyg^{\frac{1}{m}-1}(g')^2 \geq 0$ , it follows that  $E(y)$  is nondecreasing in  $y$ . If  $E(y_1) = E(y_2)$  for some  $y_1 < y_2$ , then

$$0 = E(y_2) - E(y_1) = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} E'(y)dy = \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \{-\alpha kyg^{\frac{1}{m}-1}(g')^2\}dy.$$

Thus  $g'(y) \equiv 0, \forall y \in (y_1, y_2)$ . Then  $g(y)$  is a constant on  $(y_1, y_2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $E(y)$  must be strictly monotone increasing in  $y$ .

We divide our discussion into three cases:

**Case 1:**  $m < -1$ . Taking  $\mu^* = \left(\frac{-2\alpha}{1+m}\right)^{-\alpha m}$ .

$$E(0; \mu) = \frac{m^2 \mu^{2+\frac{2k}{m}}}{2} + \frac{\alpha m^2}{1+m} \mu^{\frac{1}{m}+1} \geq 0, \text{ if } \mu \geq \mu^*.$$

Fix any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ . If there exists a critical point  $0 < y_0 < \infty$  such that  $g'(y_0) = 0$ , then

$$E(y_0; \mu) = \frac{\alpha m^2}{1+m} [g(y_0)]^{\frac{1}{m}+1} < 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $g'(y) < 0$  in  $[0, R)$ , where  $0 < R \leq \infty$ . If  $R = \infty$ , then  $g(y; \mu) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\exists \{y_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $g'(y_n; \mu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $E(y_n; \mu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction. Hence  $R < \infty$ .

**Case 2:**  $-1 < m < 0$ . Define

$$F(y) = F(y; \mu) = -\alpha kyg' - \alpha mg. \quad (10)$$

Then we compute that

$$F'(y) + \alpha kyg^{\frac{1}{m}-1}(y)F(y) = (-\alpha k - \alpha m)g'(y).$$

It follows that

$$(\rho F)'(y) = (-\alpha k - \alpha m)g'(y)\rho(y), \quad (11)$$

where  $\rho(y) = \exp\{\int_0^y \alpha k s g^{\frac{1}{m}-1}(s) ds\}$ .

We first claim that there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $F(y_1) < 0$  for some  $\mu > 0$ .

If there is no critical point, then for any  $\mu > 1$  and any  $n > 1$  there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $g(y_1) = 1/n$  and  $g'(y) < 0$  for any  $y \in [0, y_1]$ . Next, we suppose that there is a critical point  $y_0 > 0$  such that  $g'(y_0) = 0$ . From  $E(y_0; \mu) > E(0; \mu)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(y_0) &< \left[ \frac{1+m}{2\alpha} \mu^{2+\frac{2k}{m}} + \mu^{1+\frac{1}{m}} \right]^{\frac{m}{m+1}} \\ &< \left[ \frac{1+m}{2\alpha} \mu^{2+\frac{2k}{m}} \right]^{\frac{m}{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for any  $n > \max\left\{\left(\frac{2\alpha}{1+m}\right)^{\frac{m}{m+1}}, 2+\frac{m}{k}\right\}$ , there exists  $\mu_0 = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{1+m}\right)^{\frac{m}{2m+2k}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m+2k}} > 1$  such that  $g(y_0) < 1/n$  for any  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ . Hence there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $g(y_1) = 1/n$  and  $g'(y) < 0$  for any  $y \in [0, y_1]$ , if  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ .

Now, set  $\mu_c = (1+c)^{\frac{m}{2m+2k}} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{1+m}\right)^{\frac{m}{2m+2k}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m+2k}} > \mu_0$ , where  $c > 0$ . From  $E(y_1; \mu_c) > E(0; \mu_c)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g'(y_1; \mu_c) &< -\sqrt{m^2 \mu_c^{2+\frac{2k}{m}} + \frac{2\alpha m^2}{1+m} \mu_c^{1+\frac{1}{m}} - \frac{2\alpha m^2}{1+m} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{m}}} \\ &< -\sqrt{m^2 \mu_c^{2+\frac{2k}{m}} - \frac{2\alpha m^2}{1+m} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{m}}} \\ &= m \sqrt{\frac{2c\alpha}{1+m} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{m}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From (9), it follows that

$$-g'(y; \mu_c) < -m\mu_c^{1+\frac{k}{m}} + \alpha k m y g^{\frac{1}{m}}(y), \quad \forall y > 0.$$

Since  $\mu_c > 1 > (2 + \frac{m}{k})/n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + \frac{m}{k}}{n} < \mu_c - \frac{1}{n} &= \int_0^{y_1} [-g'(s; \mu_c)] ds \\ &< -m\mu_c^{1+\frac{k}{m}} y_1 + \alpha k m \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \int_0^{y_1} s ds \\ &< -m\mu_c^{1+\frac{k}{m}} y_1 + \frac{\alpha k m}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} y_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$y_1^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha k} \mu_c^{1+\frac{k}{m}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} y_1 - \frac{2(1+\frac{m}{k})}{\alpha k m} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{m}} > 0.$$

Hence

$$y_1 > \frac{-1}{\alpha k} (1+c)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{1+m}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{k(1+\frac{m}{k})(1+m)}{m(1+c)}} \right]. \quad (13)$$

Combining (10), (12) and (13), we get

$$F(y_1; \mu_c) < \frac{\alpha m}{n} \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{c^2+c}}{1+m} \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{k(1+\frac{m}{k})(1+m)}{m(1+c)}} \right] - 1 \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\sqrt{c^2+c}}{1+m} \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{k(1+\frac{m}{k})(1+m)}{m(1+c)}} \right] = \frac{k(1+\frac{m}{k})}{m} > 1.$$

Therefore, there exists  $c^* > 0$  such that  $F(y_1; \mu_c) < 0$  for any  $c \geq c^*$ . Taking  $\mu^* = \mu_{c^*}$ . Then  $F(y_1; \mu) < 0$  for any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ .

Next, we fix any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ . We claim that  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  for any  $y > y_1$  as long as  $g(y; \mu) > 0$ . For contradiction, we suppose that there exists  $y_2 > y_1$  such that  $g(y; \mu) > 0$  in  $[y_1, y_2]$ ,  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  in  $[y_1, y_2)$  and  $g'(y_2; \mu) = 0$ . Then  $F(y_2; \mu) = -\alpha m g(y_2; \mu) > 0$ . On the other hand, by (11) we have

$$(\rho F)'(y) < 0 \text{ in } (y_1, y_2), \rho(y) > 0, \forall y$$

and  $F(y_1; \mu) < 0$ . It follows that  $F(y_2; \mu) < 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  for any  $y > y_1$  as long as  $g(y; \mu) > 0$ .

Finally, we claim that  $g(y; \mu)$  is non-global for any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ . Otherwise, if  $g$  is positive globally, then by (11) and noting that  $\rho < 1$ , there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$F(y; \mu) < -\lambda, \forall y \geq y_1.$$

We recall (10) to get that

$$-\alpha k y g' - \alpha m g < -\lambda, \forall y \geq y_1.$$

By an integration, we obtain that

$$g(y) < \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{m/k} g(y_1) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha m} - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha m} \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{m/k}.$$

By passing  $y$  to infinity, we reach a contradiction. Hence  $g(y; \mu)$  is non-global for any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ .

**Case 3:**  $m = -1$ . We first claim that there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $F(y_1) < 0$  for some  $\mu > 0$ .

If there is no critical point, then for any  $\mu > 1$  and any  $n > 1$  there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $g(y_1) = 1/n$  and  $g'(y) < 0$  for any  $y \in [0, y_1]$ . Next, we suppose that there is a critical point  $y_0 > 0$  such that  $g'(y_0) = 0$ . From  $E(y_0; \mu) > E(0; \mu)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln g(y_0) &< \frac{-\mu^{2-2k}}{2\alpha} + \ln \mu \\ &< \frac{-1 + 2\alpha}{2\alpha} \mu^{2-2k} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\mu > 1$ . This implies that for any  $n > \max\{\exp[\frac{1-2\alpha}{2\alpha}], 2 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}\}$ , there exists  $\mu_0 = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{-1+2\alpha} \ln \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-2k}} > 1$  such that  $g(y_0) < 1/n$  for any  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ . Hence there exists  $y_1 > 0$  such that  $g(y_1) = 1/n$  and  $g'(y) < 0$  for any  $y \in [0, y_1]$ , if  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ .

Now, set  $\mu_c = (1+c)^{\frac{1}{2-2k}} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{-1+2\alpha} \ln \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-2k}} > \mu_0$ , where  $c > 0$ . From  $E(y_1; \mu_c) > E(0; \mu_c)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g'(y_1; \mu_c) &< -\sqrt{\mu_c^{2-2k} - 2\alpha \ln \mu_c + 2\alpha \ln \frac{1}{n}} \\ &< -\sqrt{(1-2\alpha)\mu_c^{2-2k} + 2\alpha \ln \frac{1}{n}} \\ &= -\sqrt{-2\alpha c \ln \frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From (9), it follows that

$$-g'(y; \mu_c) < \mu_c^{1-k} - \alpha k y g^{-1}(y), \quad \forall y > 0.$$

Since  $\mu_c > 1 > (2 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}})/n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}}{n} < \mu_c - \frac{1}{n} &= \int_0^{y_1} [-g'(s; \mu_c)] ds \\ &< \mu_c^{1-k} y_1 - \frac{\alpha k n}{2} y_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$y_1^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha k n} \mu_c^{1-k} y_1 + \frac{2(1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}})}{\alpha k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 > 0.$$

Hence

$$y_1 > \frac{-1}{\alpha k n} \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(1+c)}{-1+2\alpha}} \ln \frac{1}{n} \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{k(1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}})(-1+2\alpha)}{(1+c) \ln \frac{1}{n}}} \right]. \quad (15)$$

Combining (10), (14) and (15), we get

$$F(y_1; \mu_c) < \frac{-\alpha}{n} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{-4(c^2+c)}{-1+2\alpha}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{k(1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}})(-1+2\alpha)}{(1+c) \ln \frac{1}{n}}} \right] - 1 \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{-4(c^2+c)}{-1+2\alpha}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{k(1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}})(-1+2\alpha)}{(1+c) \ln \frac{1}{n}}} \right] \\ &= -k\sqrt{1-2\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{k\sqrt{1-2\alpha}}\right) > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists  $c^* > 0$  such that  $F(y_1; \mu_c) < 0$  for any  $c \geq c^*$ . Taking  $\mu^* = \mu_{c^*}$ , then  $F(y_1; \mu) < 0$  for any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ .

Next, we fix any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ . Then, as in Case 2, we have  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  for any  $y > y_1$  as long as  $g(y; \mu) > 0$ .

Finally, we claim that  $g(y; \mu)$  is non-global for any  $\mu \geq \mu^*$ . Otherwise, if  $g$  is positive globally, then by (11) and noting that  $\rho < 1$ , there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$F(y; \mu) < -\lambda, \quad \forall y \geq y_1.$$

We recall (10) to get that

$$-\alpha k y g' + \alpha g < -\lambda, \quad \forall y \geq y_1.$$

By an integration, we obtain that

$$g(y) < \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{-1/k} g(y_1) - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{-1/k}.$$

By passing  $y$  to infinity, we reach a contradiction. The lemma follows.  $\square$

Notice that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are disjoint, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that  $(0, \mu_*) \subseteq I_1$  and  $[\mu^*, \infty) \subseteq I_2$ .

Next, we shall prove  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are both open.

**Lemma 3.3**  $I_1$  is open.

**Proof.** Let  $\mu_0 \in I_1$ . Then there exists  $y_0 < \infty$  such that  $g'(y_0; \mu_0) = 0$  and  $g'(y_0 + 1; \mu_0) > 0$ . Given any  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{g(y_0; \mu_0)/2, g'(y_0 + 1; \mu_0)/2\}$ . By the continuous dependence on initial data, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|g(y; \mu) - g(y; \mu_0)| < \varepsilon$  and  $|g'(y; \mu) - g'(y; \mu_0)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $y \in [0, y_0 + 1]$  and for any  $\mu \in (\mu_0 - \delta, \mu_0 + \delta)$ . This implies that  $(\mu_0 - \delta, \mu_0 + \delta) \subset I_1$  and so  $I_1$  is open.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4**  $I_2$  is open.

**Proof.** Let  $\mu_0 \in I_2$ . Then there exists  $R_0 < \infty$  such that  $g(y; \mu_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow R_0^-$  and  $g'(y; \mu_0) < 0$  in  $[0, R_0)$ . First, we consider the function

$$F_0(y) = F(y; \mu_0) = -\alpha k y g'(y; \mu_0) - \alpha m g(y; \mu_0),$$

then  $F_0(0) > 0$ . From Lemma 2.3, we get that  $\lim_{y \rightarrow R_0^-} F_0(y) = -\infty$ . Hence there is a unique  $y_0 \in (0, R_0)$  such that  $F_0(y_0) = 0$  and  $F_0(y) < 0$  in  $(y_0, R_0)$ .

Next, we fix any  $y_1 \in (y_0, R_0)$ . By the standard continuous dependence property, there is a  $\delta > 0$  small enough such that any solution  $g(y; \mu)$  of (P) with  $|\mu - \mu_0| < \delta$  satisfies:

$$g(y; \mu) > 0, g'(y; \mu) < 0 \text{ in } [0, y_1] \text{ and } F(y_1; \mu) < 0.$$

Now, we fix any  $\mu \in (\mu_0 - \delta, \mu_0 + \delta)$ . We claim that  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  for any  $y > y_1$  as long as  $g(y; \mu) > 0$ . For contradiction, we suppose that there exists  $y_2 > y_1$  such that  $g(y; \mu) > 0$  in  $[y_1, y_2]$ ,  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  in  $[y_1, y_2)$  and  $g'(y_2; \mu) = 0$ . Then  $F(y_2; \mu) = -\alpha m g(y_2; \mu) > 0$ . On the other hand, by (11) we have

$$(\rho F)'(y) < 0 \text{ in } (y_1, y_2), \rho(y) > 0, \forall y$$

and  $F(y_1; \mu) < 0$ . It follows that  $F(y_2; \mu) < 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $g'(y; \mu) < 0$  for any  $y > y_1$  as long as  $g(y; \mu) > 0$ .

Finally, we claim that  $g(y; \mu)$  is non-global for any  $\mu \in (\mu_0 - \delta, \mu_0 + \delta)$ . Otherwise, if  $g$  is positive globally, then by (11) and noting that  $\rho < 1$ , there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$F(y; \mu) < -\lambda, \forall y \geq y_1.$$

We recall (10) to get that

$$-\alpha kyg' - \alpha mg < -\lambda, \forall y \geq y_1.$$

By an integration, we obtain that

$$g(y) < \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{m/k} g(y_1) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha m} - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha m} \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{m/k}.$$

By passing  $y$  to infinity, we reach a contradiction. The lemma follows.  $\square$

Now, we can prove the existence of self-similar solutions.

**Theorem 2** *There exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $g(y; \mu)$  is globally monotone decreasing to zero.*

**Proof.** Set  $\bar{\mu} = \sup I_1$ . Let the corresponding solution  $\bar{g}(y) := g(y; \bar{\mu})$ . Then  $\bar{g}' < 0$  in  $[0, R)$ , since  $\bar{\mu} \notin I_1$ . If  $\bar{\mu} \in I_2$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\bar{\mu} - a \in I_2$  for all  $a \in (0, \delta)$ , since  $I_2$  is open. A contradiction with  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are disjoint. Hence,  $R = \infty$  and so  $\bar{g}(y)$  is globally monotone decreasing to zero.  $\square$