

3 Minimax theorems under jointly upward property

A lot of minimax theorems require the following changeless proportions between the two functions: $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$, $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$. However, the condition is not necessary, in general. For example, let g, f be two real-valued functions defined on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ by

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} (1-x)(1-y^2) & x \geq 0 \\ (1+x)(1-y^2) & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x, y) = (1-x^2)(1-y^2).$$

Clearly, $g(x, y) \not\leq f(x, y)$. But we still have (see Example 3.3)

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) = 0 \leq 0 = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

This motivates us to introduce the concept of *jointly upward* below.

We say that f and g are *jointly upward* if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, there exists $y_3 \in Y$ such that for all $x \in X$,

$$\max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{g(x, y_1), f(x, y_2)\},$$

and

$$|g(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \geq \epsilon \implies \max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{g(x, y_1), f(x, y_2)\} - \delta.$$

Making use of the *jointly upward* property, we will give a two functions minimax theorem without the changeless proportions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a nonempty compact set of a topological space, and let Y be a nonempty set. Let f, g be two real-valued functions defined on $X \times Y$ satisfying the following properties:*

(0) $\sup_X f(x, y) \leq \sup_X g(x, y), \forall y \in Y$ and $\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y$

$$\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), f(x, y_2)\} \leq \sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\}.$$

- (i) $f(\cdot, y)$ and $g(\cdot, y)$ are upper semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$;
(ii) For any $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\cap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$ is either connected or empty; and for all $y \in Y$, $\{x \in X; f(x, y) \geq \lambda\}$ is either connected or empty.
(iii) f and g are jointly upward.

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

We need the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, if for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\} < \beta$, then there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\sup_X f(x, y_0) < \beta$.*

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\} < \beta - 2\epsilon < \beta \tag{5}$$

Suppose that $\sup_X f(x, y) \geq \beta$ for all $y \in Y$. Let $I(y) = \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y)$, $J(y) = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, y)$, $y \in Y$. Then $\inf_Y J(y) \geq \inf_Y I(y) \geq \beta$. Let

$$A_f(y) = \{x \in X; f(x, y) \geq \beta - 2\epsilon\},$$

$$A_g(y) = \{x \in X; g(x, y) \geq \beta - 2\epsilon\}.$$

Then $A_f(y)$ and $A_g(y)$ are nonempty closed subsets of X for all y in Y by condition (i).

Choose $\delta > 0$ obtained by condition (iii). Next, we shall find $v_1 \in Y$ satisfying either case(a) or case(b):

- (a) $A_g(v_1) \subset A_g(y_1)$ and for all $y \in Y$, $A_f(y) \subset A_g(v_1)$ implies $I(y) > J(v_1) - \delta$,
or (b) $A_f(v_1) \subset A_g(y_1)$ and for all $y \in Y$, $A_g(y) \subset A_f(v_1)$ implies $J(y) > I(v_1) - \delta$.

To see this, if for all y in Y ,

$$A_f(y) \subset A_g(y_1) \implies I(y) > J(y_1) - \delta,$$

then we take $v_1 = y_1$; otherwise, there exists $y^1 \in Y$ such that $A_f(y^1) \subset A_g(y_1)$ and $I(y^1) \leq J(y_1) - \delta$. If for all y in Y ,

$$A_g(y) \subset A_f(y^1) \implies J(y) > I(y^1) - \delta,$$

then we take $v_1 = y^1$; otherwise, there exists $y^2 \in Y$ such that $A_g(y^2) \subset A_f(y^1) \subset A_g(y_1)$ and $J(y^2) \leq I(y^1) - \delta \leq J(y_1) - 2\delta$.

Suppose we have chosen y^{n-1} . If n is odd, and for all y in Y ,

$$A_f(y) \subset A_g(y^{n-1}) \implies I(y) > J(y^{n-1}) - \delta,$$

then we take $v_1 = y^{n-1}$; otherwise, there exists $y^n \in Y$ such that $A_f(y^n) \subset A_g(y^{n-1})$ and $I(y^n) \leq J(y^{n-1}) - \delta \leq J(y_1) - n\delta$. On the other hand, if n is even, and for all y in Y ,

$$A_g(y) \subset A_f(y^{n-1}) \implies J(y) > I(y^{n-1}) - \delta,$$

then we take $v_1 = y^{n-1}$; otherwise, there exists $y^n \in Y$ such that $A_g(y^n) \subset A_f(y^{n-1})$ and $J(y^n) \leq I(y^{n-1}) - \delta \leq J(y_1) - n\delta$.

Since $\inf_{y \in Y} J(y) > \inf_{y \in Y} I(y) > -\infty$, this process must stop at some m . Let $v_1 = y^m$. Thus, if m is even, then we have

$$A_g(v_1) \subset A_f(y^{m-1}) \subset A_g(y^{m-2}) \subset \cdots \subset A_g(y_1)$$

and for all $y \in Y$,

$$A_f(y) \subset A_g(v_1) \implies I(y) > J(v_1) - \delta.$$

If m is odd, then we have

$$A_f(v_1) \subset A_g(y^{m-1}) \subset A_f(y^{m-2}) \subset \cdots \subset A_g(y_1)$$

and for all $y \in Y$,

$$A_g(y) \subset A_f(v_1) \implies J(y) > I(v_1) - \delta.$$

(I) Under case(a), we first notice that there exists $v_2 \in Y$ such that $A_f(v_2) \subset A_f(y_2)$ and for all $y \in Y$, $A_f(y) \subset A_f(v_2)$ implies $I(y) > I(v_2) - \delta$.

Also, by condition (iii), there exists an element y_3 in Y such that

$$f(x, y_3) \leq \max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{g(x, v_1), f(x, v_2)\}, \quad (6)$$

and for all $x \in X$,

$$|g(x, v_1) - f(x, v_2)| \geq \epsilon \implies f(x, y_3) \leq \max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{g(x, v_1), f(x, v_2)\} - \delta. \quad (7)$$

By(6),

$$A_f(y_3) \subset A_g(v_1) \cup A_f(v_2). \quad (8)$$

Next, we want to show that $A_f(y_3) \cap A_f(v_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $A_f(y_3) \cap A_g(v_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Choose $x \in X$, with $f(x, y_3) > \beta - \epsilon$. Then $x \in A_f(y_3)$.

If $f(x, v_2) \geq \beta - 2\epsilon$ then $x \in A_f(v_2)$, so $A_f(y_3) \cap A_f(v_2) \neq \emptyset$. If $f(x, v_2) < \beta - 2\epsilon < \beta - \epsilon$, we must have $g(x, v_1) > \beta - \epsilon$ by (6). Then $|g(x, v_1) - f(x, v_2)| > \epsilon$ By (7), $f(x, y_3) \leq$

$\max\{g(x, v_1), f(x, v_2)\} - \delta \leq g(x, v_1) - \delta \leq J(v_1) - \delta$. Since this inequality holds for all $x \in A \equiv \{x; f(x, y_3) > \beta - \epsilon\}$, we have $I(y_3) = \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_3) = \sup_{x \in A} f(x, y_3) \leq J(v_1) - \delta$. Hence $A_f(y_3) \not\subseteq A_g(v_1)$ by the choice of v_1 . By (8),

$$A_f(y_3) \cap A_f(v_2) \neq \emptyset. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$A_f(y_3) \cap A_g(v_1) \neq \emptyset. \quad (10)$$

Observe that $A_f(y_3)$ is nonempty and connected by condition (ii). Then from (8), (9) and (10), it follows that $A_g(v_1) \cap A_f(v_2) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $A_g(y_1) \cap A_f(y_2) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in A_g(y_1) \cap A_f(y_2)$. Thus, $\min\{g(x_0, y_1), f(x_0, y_2)\} \geq \beta - 2\epsilon$. This contradicts $\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), f(x, y_2)\} \leq \sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\} < \beta - 2\epsilon$. Therefore, there exists y_0 in Y such that $\sup_X f(x, y_0) < \beta$.

(II) Under case(b), we notice that there exists $v_2 \in Y$ such that $A_g(v_2) \subset A_g(y_2)$ and for all $y \in Y$, $A_g(y) \subset A_g(v_2)$ implies $J(y) > J(v_2) - \delta$.

Also, by condition (iii), there exists an element y_3 in Y such that

$$g(x, y_3) \leq \max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{f(x, v_1), g(x, v_2)\}, \quad (11)$$

and for all $x \in X$,

$$|f(x, v_1) - g(x, v_2)| \geq \epsilon \implies g(x, y_3) \leq \max\{f(x, y_3), g(x, y_3)\} \leq \max\{f(x, v_1), g(x, v_2)\} - \delta. \quad (12)$$

By(11),

$$A_g(y_3) \subset A_f(v_1) \cup A_g(v_2). \quad (13)$$

Next, we want to show that $A_g(y_3) \cap A_g(v_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $A_g(y_3) \cap A_f(v_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Choose $x \in X$, with $g(x, y_3) > \beta - \epsilon$. Then $x \in A_g(y_3)$. If $g(x, v_2) \geq \beta - 2\epsilon$ then $x \in A_g(v_2)$, so $A_g(y_3) \cap A_g(v_2) \neq \emptyset$. If $g(x, v_2) < \beta - 2\epsilon < \beta - \epsilon$, we must have $f(x, v_1) > \beta - \epsilon$ by (11). Then $|f(x, v_1) - g(x, v_2)| > \epsilon$ By (12), $g(x, y_3) \leq \max\{f(x, v_1), g(x, v_2)\} - \delta \leq f(x, v_1) - \delta \leq I(v_1) - \delta$. Since this inequality holds for all $x \in B \equiv \{x; g(x, y_3) > \beta - \epsilon\}$, thus $J(y_3) = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, y_3) = \sup_{x \in B} g(x, y_3) \leq I(v_1) - \delta$. Hence $A_g(y_3) \not\subseteq A_f(v_1)$ by the choice of v_1 . By (13),

$$A_g(y_3) \cap A_g(v_2) \neq \emptyset. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$A_g(y_3) \cap A_f(v_1) \neq \emptyset. \quad (15)$$

By condition (ii), $A_g(y_3)$ is nonempty and connected. Then from (13), (14) and (15), it follows that $A_f(v_1) \cap A_g(v_2) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $A_g(y_1) \cap A_g(y_2) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in A_g(y_1) \cap A_g(y_2)$. Thus, $\min\{g(x_0, y_1), g(x_0, y_2)\} \geq \beta - 2\epsilon$. This contradicts (5). Therefore, there exists y_0 in Y such that $\sup_x f(x, y_0) < \beta$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let α be a real number such that $\sup_X \inf_Y g(x, y) < \alpha$. Choose β such that

$$\sup_X \inf_Y g(x, y) < \beta < \alpha. \quad (16)$$

For each $y \in Y$, let $L_g(y) = \{x \in X; g(x, y) < \beta\}$. Then each $L_g(y)$ is open, and by (16),

$$X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} L_g(y).$$

Since X is compact, $X = \cup_{i=1}^n L_g(y_i)$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, and this implies that

$$\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), \dots, g(x, y_n)\} < \beta.$$

We want to show, by induction on n , that there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\sup_X f(x, y_0) < \alpha$. For $n = 1$, since by the condition (0), it follows that

$$\sup_X f(x, y_0) \leq \sup_X g(x, y_0) = \sup_X g(x, y_1) < \beta < \alpha.$$

For $n = 2$, since

$$\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\} < \beta,$$

by the above Lemma, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\sup_X f(x, y_0) < \alpha$.

For $n > 2$. Let $A = \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \beta, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$. By upper semicontinuity of $g(\cdot, y_i)$, the set A is a compact set in X . For $x \in A$, since $g(x, y_i) \geq \beta$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\min\{g(x, y_1), \dots, g(x, y_n)\} < \beta$, we have

$$\min\{g(x, y_1), \dots, g(x, y_n)\} = g(x, y_n).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_A g(x, y_n) &= \sup_A \min\{g(x, y_1), \dots, g(x, y_n)\} \\ &\leq \sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), \dots, g(x, y_n)\} < \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_n)\} < \beta$. Thus, by applying the above Lemma again, we can obtain $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\sup_X f(x, y_0) < \alpha$. □

Example 3.3. Let g, f be two real-valued functions defined on $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ by

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} (1-x)(1-y^2) & x \geq 0 \\ (1+x)(1-y^2) & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad f(x, y) = (1-x^2)(1-y^2),$$

figure 1

We will check the conditions of Theorem 3.1

(0) Since $\sup_X g(x, y) = 1 - y^2 = \sup_X f(x, y)$, $\sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), f(x, y_2)\} = \min\{1 - y_1^2, 1 - y_2^2\} = \sup_X \min\{g(x, y_1), g(x, y_2)\}$

(i) Since $f(\cdot, y)$ and $g(\cdot, y)$ are continuous on X for each $y \in Y$, we have $f(\cdot, y)$ and $g(\cdot, y)$ are upper semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$.

(ii) for any $y \in Y$

$$\{x \in X; g(x, y) \geq \lambda\} = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-y^2} - 1, 1 - \frac{\lambda}{1-y^2} \right] & \text{if } \lambda \in [0, 1 - y^2] \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1 - y^2, \infty) \end{cases} .$$

Hence, for any $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, let $\hat{y} = \max\{|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_n|\}$, the set

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\} = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\hat{y}^2} - 1, 1 - \frac{\lambda}{1-\hat{y}^2} \right] & \text{if } \lambda \in [0, 1 - \hat{y}^2] \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1 - \hat{y}^2, \infty) \end{cases}$$

is either connected or empty for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

And for all $y \in Y$,

$$\{x \in X; f(x, y) \geq \lambda\} = \begin{cases} \left[-\sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda}{1-y^2}}, \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda}{1-y^2}} \right] & \text{if } \lambda \in [0, 1 - y^2] \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1 - y^2, \infty) \end{cases}$$

is either connected or empty for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) Clearly, $\max\{f(x, y), g(x, y)\} = f(x, y)$, $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$.

If $|g(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \geq \epsilon$, we take $\delta = \epsilon$, and let $k = \min\{1 - y_1^2, 1 - y_2^2\}$. Then we have $(1 + x)^{\frac{k^2}{3}} \leq 1$ and $(1 - x)^{\frac{k^2}{3}} \leq 1$

Let $y_3 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{k^2}{3}}$, we have $f(x, y_3) = (1 - x^2)^{\frac{k^2}{3}}$ and

(a) if $g(x, y_1) \geq f(x, y_2) + \epsilon$, then $g(x, y_1) - \delta \geq f(x, y_2) = (1-x^2)(1-y_2^2) \geq (1-x^2)k \geq (1-x^2)\frac{k^2}{3} = f(x, y_3)$

(b) if $f(x, y_2) \geq g(x, y_1) + \epsilon$, then

$$\begin{cases} \text{for } x \geq 0 & f(x, y_2) - \delta \geq g(x, y_1) = (1-x)(1-y_1^2) \geq (1-x)k \geq (1-x^2)\frac{k^2}{3} = f(x, y_3); \\ \text{for } x < 0 & f(x, y_2) - \delta \geq g(x, y_1) = (1+x)(1-y_1^2) \geq (1+x)k \geq (1-x^2)\frac{k^2}{3} = f(x, y_3). \end{cases}$$

That is, f and g are jointly upward.

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

In fact, $\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y) = 0$.

Corollary 3.4. (Lin and Yu [5]) Let X be a nonempty compact topological space and let Y be a nonempty set. Let f, g be two real-valued functions defined on $X \times Y$ with the following properties:

- (0) $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$;
- (i) $f(\cdot, y)$ and $g(\cdot, y)$ are upper semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$;
- (ii) For any $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; g(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$ is either connected or empty;
- (iii) f and g are jointly upward.

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} g(x, y).$$

When $f = g$ are upward or t -convex, then f and g are jointly upward. Thus we have

Corollary 3.5. (Simons [11] and Geraghty-Lin [10]) Let X be a nonempty compact topological space and let Y be a nonempty set. Let f be a real-valued function defined on $X \times Y$ such that $\inf_Y \sup_X f(x, y) > -\infty$ and which satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $f(\cdot, y)$ is upper semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$;
- (ii) For any $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$, any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X; f(x, y_i) \geq \lambda\}$ is either connected or empty;
- (iii) f is either upward or t -convex on Y .

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$